ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO
COUR DE JUSTICE DE L'ONTARIO
PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO

SUMMONS / SOMMATION

Under Section 25 of the Provincial Offences Act. Sommetion edressée au defendeur aux termes de l'erticle 25 de la loi sur les infractions provinciales Form 106/Formulaire 106

Courts of Justice Act Loi sur les cours provincieles R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 200 L.R.O. 1990, Régl. 200

LARRY DI IANNI 24 Spartan Court Stoney Creek, Ontario L8E 4M7

Whereas you have been charged before me that you, on or about the Atlanda que vous avez été accusé devant moi d'avoir le cu vore le

day of	
ALCOHOLOGIC .	7
 jour de	ì

did sommit the effonce of

Count #1: Between the 10th day of November, 2004 and the 2nd day of October, 2005, at the City of Hamilton In the Central South Region did fail to ensure that a contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from an ineligible contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit the Y.M.C.A. of Hamilton-Burlington, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and did thereby commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

Counts #2 to #41 see Schedule A annexed hereto:

at City of HAMILTON this 10 day of July 1 2006

A provincial judge or justice of the peace in and for the Province of Ontario or the County/District of Juge de la Cour provinciale ou juge de patr nommeé pour la province de l'Ontario stou la colmité ou district de ou qui y ziège ou qui y ziège

Note to Defendant: You may appear personally, by agent or cousel.

if you do not appear.

contrasy to

DARES NO CONTROL

THEREFORE YOU ARE COMMANDED IN HER MAJESTY'S NAME TO APPEAR BEFORE THE PROVINCIAL OFFENCES COURT A ces causes, au nom de Sa Majeste, vous éles sommé de comparaître devent le Cour des intractions provinciales

AT Provincial Offences Court, 45 Main St. East, Hamilton, ON

Jour de (courtroom)
AND TO APPEAR THEREAFTER AS REQUIRED BY THE COURT TO BE
BEALT WITH ACCORDING TO LAW
ALSO REPORTED TO LAW
ALSO REPORT ACCORDING TO LAW

et de comparaître par la suite chaque fois que le tribunal l'axigera de façon à ce que vous soyez jugé selon la loi.

Remarque à l'adresse du prévenu: Vous pouvez comparatire personnellement, par mandataire, ou par un avocat.

Si vous ne comparaissez pas:

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 3rd day of March, 2004 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that a contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from an ineligible contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit 9043-8284 Quebec Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THREE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 28th day of May, 2004 and the 21th day of October, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that a contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from an ineligible contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit the Estate of Nick Schwartz, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT FOUR

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 8th day of October, 2003 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that a contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from an ineligible contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Milligan, Gresko, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

07:11:06 pow

COUNT FIVE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 10th day of November, 2004 and the 1st day March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensu that a contribution of money in the amount of \$300.00, received from an ineligib contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municip Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributo to wit the Hamilton Bulldogs Hockey Club LP, as soon as possible after the candidat became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT SIX

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 11th day of November, 2003 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that a contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from an ineligible contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipa Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor to wit Con Cast Pipe, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby dic commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT SEVEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 1st day of November, 2003 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that a contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from an ineligible contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Satellite Golf Centre, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT EIGHT

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 25th day of June, 2003 and the 1th day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, fail to ensure that a record was kept of a contributor's name as required by section 69(1)(f)(iv) of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 5.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, to wit by incorrectly recording a contributor as Village Green Denture Clinic, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT NINE

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 31st day of March, 2004 and on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton financial statements and auditor's reports as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Village Green Denture Clinic, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

COUNT TEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 5th day of November, 2003 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, fail to ensure that a record was kept of a contributor's name as required by section 69(1)(f)(iv) of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, to wit by incorrectly recording a contributor as Pat Decaria Hair Design, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT ELEVEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton a financial statement and auditor's report as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Pat Decaria Hair Design, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 5th day of November, 2003 and the 1th day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, fail to ensure that a record was kept of a contributor's name as required by section 69(1)(f)(iv) of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, to wit by incorrectly recording a contributor as Jerry's Man Shop, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTEEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton a financial statement and auditor's report as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 5.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Jerry's Man Shop, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

COUNT FOURTEEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 6th day of November, 2003 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, fail to ensure that a record was kept of a contributor's name as required by section 69(1)(f)(iv) of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, to wit by incorrectly recording a contributor as Parko Transportation, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT FIFTEEN

That LARRY-Di IANNI, on or about the 31st day of March, 2004 and on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton financial statements and auditor's reports as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Parko Transportation, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 3rd day of November, 2003 and the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, fail to ensure that a record was kept of a contributor's name as required by section 69(1)(f)(iv) of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, to wit by incorrectly recording a contributor as CDN Construction; and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 31st day of March, 2004 and on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton financial statements and auditor's reports as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as CDN Construction, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 7th day of October, 2003 and the 1th day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, fail to ensure that a record was kept of a contributor's name as required by section 69(1)(f)(iv) of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, to wit by incorrectly recording a contributor as Labourer's International Union of North America, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT NINETEEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 31st day of March, 2004 and on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton financial statements and auditor's reports as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Labourer's International Union of North America, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

COUNT TWENTY

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 1st day of March, 2005, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton a financial statement and auditor's report as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Meadowlands of Ancaster, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

COUNT TWENTY ONE

That LARRY Di IANNI, on or about the 31st day of March, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did, as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, file with the Clerk of the City of Hamilton a financial statement and auditor's report as required by sections 69(1)(k) and 78 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 5.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, that failed to correctly reflect the name of a contributor by recording said contributor as Adcom Advertising and Design, and thereby did commit an offence contrary to section 92(2) of said Act.

COUNT TWENTY TWO

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 1st day of October, 2003 and the 11th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$200.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Doral Property Management Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 8th day of October, 2003 and the 28th day of April, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$150.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Effort Trust Company, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT TWENTY FOUR

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 3rd day of March, 2004 and the 29th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$600.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit 100 Main Street East Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT TWENTY FIVE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 6th day of November, 2003 and the 22th day of July, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$350.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit 456941 Ontario Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 26th day of March, 2004 and the 29th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$150.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit de Luca Roofing Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT TWENTY SEVEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 6th day of November, 2003 and the 22nd day of July, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$250.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Dival Developments Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT TWENTY EIGHT

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 5th day of November, 2003 and the 28th day of April, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$500.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Homelife Effect Realty Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 26th day of March, 2004 and the 29th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$350.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Saltfleet Plumbing and Heating Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 8th day of October, 2003 and the 22nd day of July, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$150.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Venetor Equipment Rental Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY ONE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 8th day of October, 2003 and the 30th day of March, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$400.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Fifty Road Joint Venture Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY TWO

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 6th day of November, 2003 and the 22th day of July, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$250.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Sahar's Hospitality Inc. (o/a Ramada Plaza Hotel), as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY THREE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 6th day of November, 2003 and the 9th day of December, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$150.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Paletta International Corp., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY FOUR

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 26th day of March, 2003 and the 9th day of December, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$250.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Multi-Werx Electric Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 26th day of March, 2004 and the 9th day of December, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$150.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Carlisle Drywall Inc., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY SIX

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 26th day of March, 2004 and the 15th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$250.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit Danielle De Santis, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY SEVEN

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 22nd day of March, 2004 and the 15th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$250.00, received from a contributor during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the contributor, to wit David De Santis, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

07.10100

COUNT THIRTY EIGHT

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 8th day of October, 2003 and the 22th day of July, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that excess contributions of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from associated contributors during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the associated contributors, to wit A DeSantis Real Estate Ltd., A. Desantis Holdings Ltd. and A. Desantis Developments Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT THIRTY NINE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 26th day of June, 2003 and the 11th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from associated contributors during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the associated contributors, to wit J. Voortman & Associates Ltd. and Oakrun Farm Bakery Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT FORTY

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 6th day of November, 2003 and the 12th day of August, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that an excess contribution of money in the amount of \$500.00, received from associated contributors during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the associated contributors, to wit Starward Homes Management Ltd. and 1419690 Ontario Ltd., as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.

COUNT FORTY-ONE

That LARRY Di IANNI, between the 15th day of May, 2004 and the 9th day of December, 2004, at the City of Hamilton in the Central South Region did fail to ensure that excess contributions of money in the amount of \$750.00, received from associated contributors during his election campaign as a candidate for the office of Mayor in the 2003 Municipal Elections in the City of Hamilton, in contravention of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 S.O. 1996 Chap. 32 as amended, was returned to the associated contributors, to wit Liuna Gardens Limited and Liuna Station, as soon as possible after the candidate became aware of the contravention, as required by section 69(1)(m) of the said Act, and thereby did commit an offence under section 92(2) of the said Act.